

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,524	01/09/2002	David Thomas Britton	R & G Case 333	6976	
75	7590 01/26/2004			EXAMINER	
FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699			MILLER, JONATHAN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Kalamazoo, Wii 47000-1077			3653		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commons	10/042,524	BRITTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u></u>	Jonathan R. Miller	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 f</u>	November 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application	ı.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the fi 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(orst sentence of the specification or rovisional application has been rec	e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eived.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of t		•				
Attachment(s)	_					
1)	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. The previous restriction requirement sent 10/3/03 is withdrawn.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 13, drawn to a method and apparatus for sorting mail with a scan and allocation, classified in class 700, subclass 224.
 - II. Claims 14 13, drawn to an apparatus with a larger package path, classified in class 209, subclass 512.
 - III. Claims 18 23, drawn to an apparatus for sorting mail with a particular guide plate, classified in class 271, subclass 225.
 - IV. Claims 24 27, drawn to an apparatus for sorting mail with a particular diverter blade, classified in class 271, subclass 280.
 - V. Claims 28 and 29, drawn to an apparatus for sorting mail with a conveyor surface, classified in class 198, subclass 358.
 - VI. Claim 30, drawn to an apparatus for sorting mail with a dual input capability, classified in class 209, subclass 900.
 - VII. Claims 31 40, drawn to a method and apparatus for sorting mail, classified in class 209, subclass 584.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions VII and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

Art Unit: 3653

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination has a scan and allocation. The subcombination has separate utility such as using the scan data to optimize bin use.

- 4. Inventions VII and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination has the large package path. The subcombination has separate utility such as sorting oversized packages.
- 5. Inventions VII and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the particular guide plate. The subcombination has separate utility such as assuring smooth passage of mail through the apparatus.
- 6. Inventions VII and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

Page 3

Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the particular diverter blade. The subcombination has separate utility such as assuring smooth passage of mail through the apparatus.

- Inventions VII and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this 7. relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not claim the particular conveyer surface. The subcombination has separate utility such as allowing for different size packages.
- 8. Inventions VII and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the dual input of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as utilization of some common components for multiple sort paths.
- 9. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

Art Unit: 3653

separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as preventing the separation of larger and smaller packages to different machines. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 5

- 10. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as collecting statistics on the bin allocations for optimization. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 11. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as collecting statistics on the bin allocations for optimization. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 12. Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as collecting statistics on the bin allocations for optimization. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 13. Inventions I and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as collecting statistics on the bin allocations for optimization. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 14. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as ensuring smooth transport of the mail. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as as ensuring smooth transport of the mail. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 16. Inventions II and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as preventing the separation of larger and smaller packages to different machines. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- Inventions II and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a 17. single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as utilization of some common components for multiple sort paths. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 18. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as ensuring smooth travel of the mail over an unselected path. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 19. Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as ensuring smooth transport of the mail. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 20. Inventions III and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

Art Unit: 3653

separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as ensuring smooth transport of the mail. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 21. Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as ensuring smooth transport of the mail. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 22. Inventions IV and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as ensuring smooth transport of the mail. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 23. Inventions V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as preventing jams by ensuring positive contact. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 24. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

25. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 3653

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan R. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 305-5778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

jrm

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 8